ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA73890

Filing date:

03/31/2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91166568
Party	Plaintiff DSM IP Assets B.V. and DSM Dyneema B.V. DSM IP Assets BV P.O. Box 9 Geleen, 6160 MA NETHERLANDS
Correspondence Address	Richard M. Assmus Mayer Brown Rowe & Maw LLP 1909 K Street NW Washington, DC 20006 UNITED STATES rassmus@mayerbrownrowe.com
Submission	Motion to Extend
Filer's Name	Richard M. Assmus
Filer's e-mail	rassmus@mayerbrownrowe.com
Signature	/rma/
Date	03/31/2006
Attachments	05097543.Motion.pdf (4 pages)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DSM IP Assets B.V. and		
DSM Dyneema B.V.		
Opposers,		
v.		
Charles Y. Cao,		
Applicant.		

Opposition No. 91166568 Serial No. 78273162

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO CLOSE DISCOVERY

Opposers, DSM IP Assets B.V. and DSM Dyneema B.V. ("Opposers"), hereby move the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board pursuant to Rule 37 C.F.R. 2.120(a) for an extension of ninety (90) days of the period in which discovery is to be completed. This is the first request for an extension of time in which to complete discovery. Discovery is currently scheduled to close April 2, 2006. The current motion would extend the time period to complete discovery through July 1, 2006. The subsequent deadlines for the opening and closing of testimony should be extended through and including the following dates:

	Extended Deadline
Plaintiff Testimony to Close	September 29, 2006
Defendant Testimony to Close	November 28, 2006
Rebuttal Testimony to Close	January 13, 2007

In support of their Motion, Opposers state as follows:

- 1. Opposers' Notice of Opposition was filed on September 9, 2005.
- 2. Applicant's answer was due on October 24, 2005.

- 3. It appeared that Applicant failed to answer or file a motion to extend its time to answer by October 24, 2005. As a result, on January 3, 2006, the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board entered a notice of default against Applicant under Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a).
- 4. In a response filed on January 13, 2006, Applicant claimed that it did in fact file a timely answer, and provided a copy of its answer and documentation demonstrating that the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office did receive Applicant's answer on October 24, 2005. Applicant did not serve Opposers on that response.
- 5. In view of Applicant's response, the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board set aside the notice of default and accepted Applicant's answer by order dated February 3, 2006
- 6. Opposers have not delayed in commencing discovery, and served document requests and interrogatories on Applicant on March 3, 2006.
- 7. In light of the over four-month delay caused by the erroneous notice of default, Opposers require additional time to review Applicant's discovery responses (when such responses are received) and conduct appropriate follow-up discovery.
- 8. Opposers therefore respectfully request that the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board grant them an extension of time of ninety (90) days of the period in which discovery is to be completed and a corresponding extension of ninety (90) days of the subsequent deadlines for the closing of testimony.

Dated: March 31, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

MAYER, BROWN, ROWE & MAW LLP

l W. Asmus

By:

Richard M. Assmus Melissa A. Anyetei Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP 71 South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606-4637 (312) 701-8623 (312) 706-9125 – Facsimile

Susan T. Brown Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP 1909 K Street NW Washington, DC 20006 (202) 263-3393 (202) 263-5394 – Facsimile

Attorney for Opposers DSM IP Assets B.V. and DSM Dyneema B.V.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 31, 2006, I forwarded a copy of the foregoing **MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO CLOSE DISCOVERY** by overnight delivery, postage prepaid, to:

Charles Y. Cao 611 Forest Hill Dr. Coppell, TX 75019

Richard M. Assmus

Eichard M. Gromus